FILED
U.S. COSTRUCT COURT
BENEFIT OF 10WA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTER -5 PM 4: 55 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA CENTRAL DIVISION - 1000 CFF COURTER CENTRAL DIVISION - 1000 CFF CENTRAL DI

DY CAKNOS

TOP OF IOWA COOPERATIVE, an Iowa cooperative,

Plaintiff,

No. C 96-3146-MWB

vs.

VIRGIL E. SCHEWE,

Defendant.

VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

TOP OF IOWA'S CLAIM OF BREACH OF CONTRACT							
1	claim Jury II evider but if	Top of Iowa proved all of the element of breach of contract, as explain instruction No. 4, by the greater where? (If your answer is "yes," go your answer is "no," go on to line werdict in favor of Mr. Schewe.)	ned in Final reight of the on to line 2,				
2	equita Instruction satisfa your v your a	fas Mr. Schewe proved his affirmative defense of quitable estoppel, as explained in Final Jury instruction No. 7. by clear, convincing, and atisfactory evidence? (If your answer is "no," enter our verdict on line 3 in favor of Top of Iowa, but if our answer is "yes," enter your verdict on line 3 in avor of Mr. Schewe.)					
3	In who	ose favor do you find?	Top	of Iowa	Mr. Schewe		
4	If you found in favor of Top of Iowa, what damages do you award to Top of Iowa on this claim, as damages for Mr. Schewe's breach of contract are explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 10?						
	The difference between the market price and the contract price, in the amount of \$						
		Nominal damages in the amount					



MR. SCHEWE'S FIRST COUNTERCLAIM OF BREACH OF CONTRACT							
1	Has Mr. Schewe proved all of the elements of counterclaim of breach of contract, as explain Final Jury Instruction No. 5, by the greater weighthe evidence? (If your answer is "yes," go on to 2, but if your answer is "no," go on to line 3 and your verdict in favor of Top of Iowa.)	ed in ship of ship of ship of ship of ship ship ship ship ship of ship ship ship ship ship ship ship ship	YesNo				
2	Has Top of Iowa proved its affirmative defense of equitable estoppel, as explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 7, by clear, convincing, and satisfactory evidence? (If your answer is "no." enter your verdict on line 3 in favor of Mr. Schewe, but if your answer is "yes," enter your verdict on line 3 in favor of Top of Iowa.)						
3	In whose favor do you find?Mr. Schewe		Top of Iowa				
4	If you found in favor of Mr. Schewe, what dar award to Mr. Schewe on this counterclaim, as Top of Iowa's breach of contract are explained Instruction No. 10?						
	The profit Mr. Schewe would have me performance of the contract, in the \$						
	Nominal damages in the amount of \$1.						

MR. S	MR. SCHEWE'S SECOND COUNTERCLAIM OF BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY						
1	explai greate "yes,"	of. Schewe proved all of the elemerchaim of breach of fiduciary ined in Final Jury Instruction Notes weight of the evidence? (If you go on to line 2, but if your answer line 3 and enter your verdict in fav.)	y duty, as on the ser answer is series."			No	
2	Has Top of Iowa proved its affirmative defense of equitable estoppel, as explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 7, by clear, convincing, and satisfactory evidence? (If your answer is "no," enter your verdict on line 3 in favor of Mr. Schewe, but if your answer is "yes," enter your verdict on line 3 in favor of Top of Iowa.)			Yes			
3	In whose favor do you find? Mr. Schewe			Top of Iowa			
4	If you found in favor of Mr. Schewe, what damages do you award to Mr. Schewe on this counterclaim, as damages for Top of Jowa's breach of fiduciary duty are explained in Final Jury Instruction No. 10?						
	"Benefit of the bargain" damages and other monetary losses, in the amount of \$ 3400						
		Nominal damages in the amount of \$1.00.					

Date: 4/5/01 Time: 4:30 jm

Copies mailed on 5/0/1
to counsel of record or pro se parties as support on the doclast, sheet.

Deputy Chart.